

2026 Priority Setting Process Evaluation Form

Response Statistics

18

Survey Visits

11

Total Responses

10

Completed Responses

1

Partial Response

0

Disqualified Responses

0

Over Quota Responses



2026 Priority Setting Process Evaluation Form

How this form is used: The Service Systems Planning & Quality (SSPQ) Committee reviews evaluation results and determines what updates should be made to improve the following year's process.

Instructions: Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements. Turn in the completed form to the Planning Council Support Staff.

Please leave comments. We want to know why you answered the way that you did.


Answered: 0 Skipped: 11

No Responses

Q2

1. I am a:

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0




- Full/ Regular Planning Council Member
- Associate Planning Council Member
- Member of the Public

Choices	Response percent	Response count
Full/ Regular Planning Council Member	100.00%	11
Associate Planning Council Member	0.00%	0
Member of the Public	0.00%	0


Q3

2. How long have you been on the Planning Council?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0




Choices	Response percent	Response count
Less than 1 year	9.09%	1
1 year	27.27%	3
2 years	0.00%	0
3 years	9.09%	1
4+ years	54.55%	6



Q4

3. Which committee are you assigned to?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0




Choices	Response percent	Response count
Membership & Engagement Committee	27.27%	3
Service Systems Planning & Quality	72.73%	8

Q5

4. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements:

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0



Row	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Response count
Clear instructions were provided to me for the Priority Setting Process.	0.00% (0)	0.00% (0)	0.00% (0)	36.36% (4)	63.64% (7)	11
The meeting followed Robert's Rules of Order.	0.00% (0)	0.00% (0)	0.00% (0)	54.55% (6)	45.45% (5)	11
I believe all participants were given the opportunity to express their views and ask questions	0.00% (0)	0.00% (0)	0.00% (0)	27.27% (3)	72.73% (8)	11
Data Presentation and Priority Setting Overview helped me complete the Priority Setting process.	0.00% (0)	0.00% (0)	0.00% (0)	36.36% (4)	63.64% (7)	11
The goals of Priority Setting were clearly stated.	9.09% (1)	0.00% (0)	0.00% (0)	27.27% (3)	63.64% (7)	11

P5

Q6

5. Please tell us why you disagree or strongly disagree with the previous statements.


Answered: 0 Skipped: 11

No Responses

Q7

6. Did you experience any issues using electronic devices vs. using paper documents?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 1



● No

● Yes

Choices	Response percent	Response count
No	100.00%	10
Yes	0.00%	0

Q9

8. Would you like to see the implementation of electronic devices for next year's Data Presentation & PSRA processes?

Answered: 8 Skipped: 3

1. Yes
 2. Yes
 3. N/A
 4. Yes however, more guidance on what documents are being pulled up on the screen for easier access for others to follow along easier
 5. Yes
 6. Yes
 7. Yes please
 8. None
-

Q10

9. Please share any general comments about the Priority Setting Process.

Answered: 3 Skipped: 8

1. It wasn't as bad as previous ones.
 2. Great meeting.
 3. None
-

Q11

10. What suggestions do you have that would make the process better?

Answered: 3 Skipped: 8

1. N/A
 2. N/A
 3. None
-